THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.2739 of 2007

Dated: 15.02.2007

Between:

Smt. Noorunnisa Begum and others.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

The Mandal Revenue Officer, Serilingampalli, and others.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S. RAO
WRIT PETITION No.2739 of 2007

ORDER:

The five petitioners are allegedly owners of house sites/plots in survey Nos.124/E in Gopanapally Village, Serilingampally Mandal, Ranga Reddy District. They allege that they purchased said plots under registered sale deeds after verifying the marketable title of the vendors. They allege that they also obtained pahani copies to show that the land is patta land. Nonetheless respondents 2 and 3 allotted the land to
M/s Wipro Limited for establishing their company without initiating the land acquisition proceedings. Therefore, they made representations to third respondent, in vain. As there is continuous threat of dispossession and the respondent authorities are surveying the land, they filed instant writ petition.

The learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue (General-T) brought to the notice of this Court the order dated 26.12.2006 in W.P.No.24644 of 2006 passed by this Court dismissing the writ petition with certain observations. In the said order it was observed as under.

> The matter was initially heard on 27.11.2006. At the request made by the learned standing counsel for APIIC and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue (General-T) the matter was adjourned. Today after getting instructions, the learned standing counsel for APIIC submits that the proposals for alienation of land admeasuring Acs.148.00 cents in various survey numbers of said Village are pending before the Government. He also submits that pending the decision on the alienation proposals, the Revenue Department has handed over said land to APIIC on 28.10.2004 and out of said land an extent of Acs.100.00 was allotted and handed over by APIIC to M/s Wipro limited, Hyderabad, on 26.03.2006, who are in possession of said land. In view of this it becomes clear that there is a dispute regarding title to the land allotted by APIIC to M/s Wipro limited. If so advised, the petitioners may have to adjudicate their rights in a common Law Court. Writ petition is not proper remedy. In this writ petition no relief can be granted.

This writ petition is also dismissed observing that in view of the title dispute, the petitioners may have to seek remedy by filing a suit in the Civil Court and the writ petition is not proper remedy. No costs.

_____

(**V.V.S.RAO, J**)

15th February, 2007
ghn